The Role of IT Infrastructure Capability in Shared EGovernment Concept

by Darius Antoni

Submission date: 28-Aug-2019 12:50PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1164307580

File name: The_Role_of_IT_Infrastructure_Capability_in_Shared_Sent.pdf (200.4K)

Word count: 3236

Character count: 20041

The Role of IT Infrastructure Capability in Shared E-Government Concept

Darius Antoni
E-government Research Group
Faculty of Computer Science
Universitas Bina Darma
Palembang, Indonesia
darius.antoni@binadarma.ac.id

Asep Syaputra
E-government Research Group
Faculty of Computer Science
Universitas Bina Darma
Palembang, Indonesia
asepsyaputra68@gmail.com

Muhammad Nasir
E-government Research Group
Faculty of Computer Science
Universitas Bina Darma
Palembang, Indonesia
nasir@binadarma.ac.id

Abstract - The rapid development of e-government around the world has discussed and studied by many researches in order to improve the service performance of local government. This paper presents a literature study for the development of shared electronic government (e-government) through IT infrastructure capability adoption. The shared e-government is developed based on the previous studies of IT infrastructure capability including Duncan [1], Byrd and Turner [2] and Broadbent and Weill [3]. This research reveals that there are five capabilities of shared e-government concept utilized as guidelines for local governments to develop a shared egovernment in order to reduce the budget of e-government development. It is recommended for the Indonesian and local government to develop comprehensive capabilities and progress assessments which include connectivity, Modularity, serviceability, political willingness and skilled IT human resources to support the development of shared e-government.

Keywords— E-Government; Development; IT infrastructure; Indonesia

I. INTRODUCTION

E-government implementation is an effort to develop electronic-based governance in order to improve the quality of public services more effectively and efficiently [1]. This is supported by the Decree of the Minister of Administrative and Bureaucratic Reform Number 13 / KEP / M.PAN / 1/2003 and Presidential Regulation (Perpres) Number 95 of 2018 concerning Electronic Based Government Systems (SPBE), concerning General Guidelines for Electronic Office Intranet Environments in Institutional Environments The government, which is also an important foundation in implementing E-government in Indonesia.

This policy also requires local government and its agencies to build and use information technology to support government performance and improve public services. Antoni, et al. [4] revealed With the aim of ease of communication the concept of E-government is one example of the implementation of e-business practices in the field of governance, thus that the implementation of E-government within the local government is expected to be a vehicle to accelerate information exchange transactions with community members (G2C), to business community (G2B), and of course with the government itself (G2G). In this case, the concept of transformation is the main factors that should be applied, not just the use of the technology, but the use of technology that can support the system of policy making and public service in a better direction [5].

Although there are many policies and regulation that encourage the local government to implement the egovernment systems, the budget for implementing e-Government are still limited and quite expensive. For example, Jakarta provincial government spends more than 30 billion rupiah per year for implementating and upgrading of e-Government and Bandung and Surabaya spend \pm 25 billion per year to create a friendly public service for their citizen.

This study, therefore, focuses on identifying the capability of shared e-government based on IT infrastructure capability as an initiative was formed to create shared s-Government.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW METHOD

A literature review method is one of the approach to review emerging trend through conducting a systematic review. Levy and Ellis [6] posit that a systematic review involves three sequential activities of input, process and output. There is a need to collect comprehensive literature of relevant papers as many as possible. By doing so, the outcome of such review is often claimed to be representative of the state of art of a research domain. Therefore, this research followed a literature review approarch as the main method.

III. E-GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT IN INDONESIA

Electronic government is commonly known as e-government. It is an effort of local and central government to develop electronic-based government [7, 8]. This is defined as a structuring management system and work processes in the government through maximizing the utilization of information and communication technology [4]

Indonesia is one of the biggest developing countries with over 257 million citizens. Internet penetration has reached 22% of the total population [7]. The Indonesian Government, therefore, believes that e-government is the most suitable platform to serve the large numbers of online citizens [27]. The Government of Indonesia has been utilised IT infrastructure and systems for the public administration services almost 50 years. In addition, the State Administration Automation Coordinating Agency in Indonesia is developed to supervisor the distribution of computer systems across government institutions in 1969 [9]. consequently, many computer programs and applications in the public administration services have been utilised. These programs, however, are only designed to perform fundamental tasks such as typing and computing without any specific functions related to the delivery of egovernment services.

In the last 10 years, the Indonesia Government officially introduced the e-Indonesia initiative for facilitating the development of egovernment. In the current development

program, the Indonesian Government has committed to spending US\$6.78 billion for e-government development from 2014 to 2019 [7]. The Indonesian Government sets six active milestones for eelectronic Indonesia initiative as showed in Figure 1.

The principal purposes of these six-year plan include (a) enhancing the providing of public services, (b) closing the digital divide, (c) emphasising corruptions through the transparency of e-government, (d) improving the quality of education sector, (e) supporting the country's growth, ultimately (f) enriching the quality of life of Indonesian citizens. To achieve the objectives of the e-Indonesia initiative, five edevelopment programs including (a) open government program, (b) human resource development program, (c) ICT infrastructure investment program, (d) public participation improvement program, and (e) policies and institutional development programs.



Fig. 1. The Roadmap of E-government of Indonesia [8]

IV. CONCEPT OF SHARED E-GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT

In this section, this paper presents a shared e-government conceptual framework developed by Duncan [1], Byrd and Turner [2], Broadbent and Weill [3] and Antoni and Jie [10]. It is used for identifying the the role of IT infrastructure capability to build a concept of shared e-government.

IT infrastructure is described as the foundation of shared IT capabilities that enable the development of IT applications and the support of business processes in private and public organisations including government [11,12]. It consists of platform technologies, networks telecommunication technologies, key data, and core software applications [13]. IT infrastructures have several basic characteristics including centralised management and efficient operations. Hence, IT Infrastructure has a significant capability to assist organisations to face dynamic change, reengineering their business processes and to reach business units or extensive international or geographically dispersed operations [11]. This is because IT infrastructure has connectivity, Functionality, modularity, flexibility, serviceability, compatibility, and accessibility to help the organisation in business processes and achieve business goals.

Development of concept of shared e-governments is based on the definition of sharing capability in IT infrastructure. Sharing capability represents the efficiency of the IT infrastructure resources interlinkage in providing homogenous services to the customers within and outside the organisation including public organization such as government. The sharing capabilities require the both the

internal technical (e.g. software, cabling, and equipment) and managerial expertise required to provides effective service [11]. Sharing capability refers to "reach and range" [14]. "Reach" refers to the locations that would be linked through the IT infrastructure from local information systems in organisations to customers and suppliers domestically, to international locations or to anyone, anywhere. "Range" determines the level of functionality including business process that would be shared automatically and seamlessly across every single level of reach. These capabilities indicate a large of level sharing competency and connectivity ability for "anything to anyone at anytime" [14]. Therefore, through these capabilities, the shared e-government ability might be developed to reach the citizen beyond the boundary of the city or nation.

This study will utilise the reach and range to identify the sharing capabilities of IT infrastructure including connectivity, modularity, and serviceability

A. Connectivity

The connectivity is defined as the extent to which government use its IT infrastructure to connect all resources together in order to improve service quality to citizen [1, 3]. The connectivity with adequate reach and range enable the local government to capture information about citizen and spread information to stakeholders through the Internet, virtual communities, and personalized information channels [3, 15]. In addition, the connectivity refers to the ability to link data and information each other among government offices [16]. Successfully using and reusing e-government in different city and regency depend on the compatibility and connectivity of IT infrastructure elements. Therefore, the connectivity capability is central to information-based innovation, reengineering, and also for managing the rapid change of technological generations [1].

B. Modularity

E-government is an information system which has elements connected each other in order to achieve a goal. Those elements are built based on the modules in order to manage it easily or more manageable [17]. To develop sharing capability of e-government, the system has to have sophisticated form of modularify which expands the concepts of sharability and reusability to both applications and data [1]. The concept of modularity for shared egovernment implementation has to standardize governance and systems processes as many as possible [18]. It is Encapsulated in separate modules, business rules, implementation code, and individual processes may become far more accessible. Applying modularity technique to adopt shared e-government from other local government has to consider their IT infrastructure including hardware of network, database, and business process [19]. Additionally, every government's IS technology, its unique perspectives on data ownership and usage across government agency, and its approach to IT infrastructure all affect the shareability data in the adopted e-government [17]. Therefore, the shared e-government implementation requires modularity capability to adapt rapidly changing environment through standardizing data and business rules.

C. Serviceability

Shared e-government provides several services as capability for local government to serve the citizen. Serviceability is defined as ability to deliver kind of services to meet the public demands which focuses on the efficiency of procedures and governances. This serviceability of shared egovernment based on Sabani, et al. [7], [20] and [21] which consists of four stages including emerging stage, enhancement stage, transaction stage and connection stage. The first stage is the initial stage where the government provides static information online. This stage emphases on providing information such as government contact information and policy announcements. The quality of information is the primary concern in this stage. The development of technologies raises the expectation of citizens for e-government to deliver services beyond information delivery. The second serviceability in enhancement stage is about facilitating simple communication between the government and the public. It is an intermediate phase where the government provides dynamic information and basic one-way transactions. One of the most common examples is the online feedback, where citizens can submit their complaints of the physical government services to the official website. In addition to the information quality, the timeliness of information becomes one of the main concerns in this stage. Transaction ability is about improving the delivery of public services through the use of e-government. It is an intermediate stage where two-way transactions are enabled. For instance, online taxation portal implementation as a e-government services in Indonesia, in which citizens are able to file their tax online in this stage, whereas previously this could only be done by citizen through visiting the nearest taxation office in their city. Mostly, numerous developing countries including Indonesia are at the beginning of this stage. Connection-based capability of e-government focuses on redefining the delivery of public services by providing the one-stop integrated e-government system in which citizens can immediately access whole kinds of public services. This is the capability of e-government development which assumes that horizontal connections between government institutions as well as vertical connections among central and local government are in place in which a reliable infrastructure with the full capacity to support is also established.

D. Political will

Developing an e-government in developing countries including Indonesia are still undergoing and depending on political transformation [22]. Other factors are rigid political structures, inefficiency in governance, and corruption, which have been cited as some of the significant barriers preventing e-government [23]. Furthermore, political instability and bad governance in local governance of Indonesia have slowed e-government improvement [24]. For instance, when the local government requires a new system in e-government including shared e-government, the government has to seek several permission and budget approval from head of government unit office, Mayor of city or Regent of regency, and Parliament [24]. In other word, the development of e-government has a rigid political

structure with many procedures and inefficiency in governance [5]. Therefore, in order to implement the shared e-government, this research suggest political will as capability for local government of Indonesia.

E. Skilled IT human resources

The issue of e-government implementation is rare of skilled IT human resources in local governments [24]. With skilled IT human resources, the local governments in Indonesia are able to be independent to develop public service systems in their e-government. This because the skilled IT staff can help the government to interpret the government service issues into the information systems and develop the appropriate technical solutions including internet network, database etc [25]. The government of Indonesia occasionally provide the information systems including e-government to local government. In this case, the local government has to have adequate skilled IT human resources to adopt those systems that can be implemented in their environment [2]. Thus, the IT human resources should be having the ability the plan, organize, and lead the e-government projects and be sensitive to government culture and politics. In conclusion, the skilled IT human resources have a significant role in implementing the shared e-government.

TABLE I. THE OVERVIEW OF SHARED E-GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT

No	Dimensions	Indikators	Ref.
1	Connectivity	Reach the government office to be	[1],[3
		connected],[15]
		Range the level of functionality covered	,[16]
		Re-using the e-government	
		Sharing Resources across platforms	
2	Modularity	Independent components of IT	[1],
		infrastructure	[17],
		Manageable systems	[18],
		Reusable systems	[19]
		Standarizing data and report	
3	Serviceability	Informative ability	[7],[2
		Tranaerm ability	0],[2
		Communicative ability	1]
		Connection ability	,[1],
			[2],
4	Political Will	Affortless of administration	[22],[
		Simple rules	23],[
		Easily procedures	24],
			[5],
			[26]
5	Skilled IT	Interpretation	[2],[2
	Human	adaptation	4],
	Resouces	planning	[25]
		organizing	
		leading	

V. CONCULSION

The Shared E-Government development with the conceptual IT infrastructure approach is very interesting to study. This study highlights several findings on the role of IT infrastructure capability, which a comprehensive review of prior literature and reports. This research reveals the several capabilities of shared e-government concept including connectivity, modularity, serviceability, pollical will, and skilled IT human Resources. Those capabilities are required when the local governments in Indonesia desire to adopt

shared e-government to provide a new service to their citizen.

This concept is developed to address various issues including limited budget for e-government development in some cities and regencies in Indonesia. The adoption of Shared E-Government has a positive influence on the

This study contributes to the e-government research domain from both the theoretical and practical perspectives. In term of theoretical perspective, this study provides a better understanding of the shared e-government concept developed from IT infrastructure capability viewpoint. From practical perspective, this research presents the Indonesian and local government with relevant references and recommendations on how to adopt shared e-government to improve their service performance. Thus, this research suggests the framework or concept of better strategies and policies for the continuous e-government development in Indonesia.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research is supported by Direktorat Riset dan Pengabdian Masyarakat Bina Darma.

REFERENCES

- N. B. Duncan, "Capturing flexibility of information technology infrastructure: A study of resource characteristics and their measure," *Journal of Management Information Systems*, vol. 12, pp. 37-37, 1995.
- [2] T. A. Byrd and D. E. Turner, "Measuring the flexibility of information technology infrastructure: Exploratory analysis of a construct," *Journal of Management Information Systems*, vol. 17, pp. 167-167-208, 2000.
- [3] M. Broadbent and P. Weill, "Management by Maxim: How Business and IT Managers Can Create IT Infrastructures," MIT Sloan Management Review, vol. 38, pp. 77-77-92, 1997.
- [4] D. Antoni, M. I. Herdiansyah, and M. Akbar, "Critical factors of transparency and trust for evaluating e-government services for the poor," in 2017 Second International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC), 2017, pp. 1-6.
- [5] M. I. Manda and J. Backhouse, "An analysis of the barriers to e-government integration, interoperability and information sharing in developing countries: A systematic review of literature," in *Proceedings of the African Conference in Information Systems and Technology, Accra, Ghana*, 2016, pp. 5-6.
- [6] Y. Levy and T. J. Ellis, "A systems approach to conduct an effective literature review in support of information systems research," *Informing Science*, vol. 9, 2006.
- [7] A. Sabani, H. Deng, and V. Thai, "Evaluating the Development of E-Government in Indonesia," in *Proceedings* of the 2nd International Conference on Software Engineering and Information Management, 2019, pp. 254-258.
- [8] A. Sabani, H. Deng, and V. Thai, "A Conceptual Framework for the Adoption of E-Government in Indonesia."
- [9] A. Rokhman, "e-Government adoption in developing countries; the case of Indonesia," *Journal of Emerging*

performance of the city government, both in terms of Technology and costs in line with what is expected by the government. It can be concluded that the shared concept of E-Government is better for minimizing E-Government costs in future work

- Trends in Computing and Information Sciences, vol. 2, pp. 228-236, 2011.
- [10] D. Antoni and F. Jie, "Investigating the critical capabilities of information technology for developing ecological competencies of organizations," in 11th ANZAM Operations, Supply Chain and Services Management Symposium, Brisbane, Australia, 2013.
- [11] M. Broadbent, P. Weill, and B. S. Neo, "Strategic context and patterns of IT infrastructure capability," *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, vol. 8, pp. 157-187, 1999.
- [12] S. Liu, "A practical framework for discussing IT infrastructure," IT Professional, vol. 4, pp. 14-21, 2002.
- [13] G. Dakin, "Shaping the Future: Business Design Through Information Technology," J Oper Res Soc, vol. 43, pp. 1249-1250, 1993.
- [14] P. G. W. Keen, Shaping the future: business design through information technology: Harvard Business School Press Cambridge, MA., 1991.
- [15] S. E. Fawcett, P. Osterhaus, G. M. Magnan, J. C. Brau, and M. W. McCarter, "Information sharing and supply chain performance: the role of connectivity and willingness," Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, vol. 12, pp. 358-368, 2007.
- [16] C. G. Reddick, Comparative e-government vol. 25: Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [17] A. Fath-Allah, L. Cheikhi, R. E. Al-Qutaish, and A. Idri, "E-Government Portals Maturity Models: A Best Practices' Coverage Perspective," JSW, vol. 10, pp. 805-824, 2015.
- Coverage Perspective," JSW, vol. 10, pp. 805-824, 2015.

 [18] M. Pankowska, "National frameworks' survey on standardization of e-Government documents and processes for interoperability," Journal of theoretical and applied electronic commerce research, vol. 3, pp. 64-82, 2008.
- [19] M. Asgarkhani, "The effectiveness of e-service in local government: a case study," The electronic journal of e-government, vol. 3, pp. 157-166, 2005.
 [20] U. Nations, "United Nations E-Government Survey 2016."
- [20] U. Nations, "United Nations E-Government Survey 2016," Department of Economic and Social Affairs 2016.
- [21] U. Nations, "United Nations E-Government Survey 2018," Department of Economic and Social Affairs 2018.
- [22] B. Furuholt and F. Wahid, "E-government Challenges and the Role of Political Leadership in Indonesia: the Case of Sragen," in Proceedings of the 41st Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS 2008), 2008, pp. 411-411.
- [23] M. J. Ahn and S. Bretschneider, "Politics of e-government: E-government and the political control of bureaucracy," Public Administration Review, vol. 71, pp. 414-424, 2011.
- [24] A. Nasution, "The government decentralization program in Indonesia," in Central and Local Government Relations in Asia, ed: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2017.
- [25] T. Almarabeh and A. AbuAli, "A general framework for e-government: definition maturity challenges, opportunities, and success," *European Journal of Scientific Research*, vol. 39, pp. 29-42, 2010.
- [26] P. Ifinedo, "Factors influencing e-government maturity in transition economies and developing countries: a longitudinal perspective," ACM SIGMIS Database: the DATABASE for Advances in Information Systems, vol. 42, pp. 98-116, 2012.

The Role of IT Infrastructure Capability in Shared E-Government Concept

ORIGINALITY REPORT

SIMILARITY INDEX

%

INTERNET SOURCES

17%

%

PUBLICATIONS STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

Nancy Bogucki Duncan. "Capturing Flexibility of Information Technology Infrastructure: A Study of Resource Characteristics and Their Measure", Journal of Management Information Systems, 2015

3%

Publication

Hu, Yan, and Xiao Wei Liu. "Information Technology in E-Commerce Capabilities and Firm Performance: Based on Resource-Based View", Advanced Materials Research, 2013. Publication

1%

Darius Antoni, Ade Pratiwijoani, Muhammad Izman Herdiansyah, Muhamad Akbar. "Information Technology Governance Profile in E-Government of Palembang", 2018 Third International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC), 2018

1%

Publication

Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2011.

5	MOCH, Noor Falikh. "IMPLEMENTATION OF E-PROCUREMENT POLICY AT KUDUS LOCAL GOVERNMENT", Ritsumeikan Asia Pacific University, 2014. Publication	1%
6	Qi, X "Conceptual Model of IT Infrastructure Capability and Its Empirical Justification", Tsinghua Science & Technology, 200806	1%
7	S. Liu. "A practical framework for discussing IT infrastructure", IT Professional, 2002 Publication	1%
8	Allan Sylvester, Mary Tate, David Johnstone. "Beyond synthesis: re-presenting heterogeneous research literature", Behaviour & Information Technology, 2013 Publication	1%
9	"Informatics Engineering and Information Science", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2011 Publication	1%
10	M. Broadbent, P. Weill, B.S. Neo. "Strategic context and patterns of IT infrastructure capability", The Journal of Strategic Information Systems, 1999 Publication	1%

11	Muhammad Zillullah Mukaram, Mohd Khanapi Abd Ghani. "Development of Embedded System for Centralized Insomnia System", 2018 5th International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Informatics (EECSI), 2018 Publication	<1%
12	Lin Zhang, Tian Feng, Qinghua Zhang, Tao Ren. "The impact of subjects and gender in value-added assessment of senior high school in China", 2018 International Symposium on Security and Privacy in Social Networks and Big Data (SocialSec), 2018 Publication	<1%
13	Kijpokin Kasemsap. "chapter 312 Mastering Electronic Government in the Digital Age", IGI Global, 2018 Publication	<1%
14	Hakikur Rahman, Isabel Ramos. "chapter 12 Stages of E-Government Maturity Models", IGI Global, 2014 Publication	<1%
15	Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2013. Publication	<1%
16	"Smart Working, Living and Organising",	/1 _{0/}

16

Springer Nature, 2019

<1%



Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, Volume 6, Issue 2 (2012-06-19)

<1%

Publication

Hyeri Choi, Min Jae Park, Jae Jeung Rho, 18 Hangjung Zo. "Rethinking the assessment of egovernment implementation in developing countries from the perspective of the designreality gap: Applications in the Indonesian eprocurement system", Telecommunications Policy, 2016

<1%

Publication

19

Bo Fan, Jifeng Luo. "Benchmarking scale of egovernment stage in Chinese municipalities from government chief information officers' perspective", Information Systems and e-Business Management, 2013

<1%

Publication

20

Shuai Zhang, Dejian Yu, Yan Wang, Wenyu Zhang. "Evaluation about the Performance of E-Government Based on Interval-Valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set", The Scientific World Journal, 2014

<1%

Publication

Kyu-Nahm Jun, Feng Wang, Dapeng Wang. "E-Government Use and Perceived Government Transparency and Service Capacity", Public

<1%

"Millennium Development Goals and Community Initiatives in the Asia Pacific", Springer Nature,

<1%

Publication

2013

Publication

23

M., Sherif, and Sherif E.. "Cloud Based Public Collaboration System in Developing Countries", International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 2014.

<1%

Publication

24

Alsabawy, Ahmed Younis, Aileen Cater-Steel, and Jeffrey Soar. "IT Infrastructure Services As a Requirement for E-learning System Success", Computers & Education, 2013.

<1%

Publication

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography

On