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Thu, Oct 17, 2019 at 1:30 PM

To: Muhamad Akbar <muhamad.akbar@binadarma.ac.id>, Antoni Darius <darius.antoni@binadarma.ac.id>

Dear Muhamad Akbar, Antoni Darius:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Jurnal Sistem Informasi, "E-Supply Chain Management Value Concept for The Palm Oil Industry".

Our decision is to: Accept with revision

Please find the revision below. You may get to publish your manuscript on late October 2019 edition. However, you must submit the revised manuscript by 21 October 2019 at the latest.

Dr. Putu Wuri Handayani
jsi@cs.ui.ac.id

-------------------------------------------
Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Writing and organization

Good

2. Topic discussed are new (up-to-date)

Good

3. Relevance to JSI topic coverage.

Excellent

4. Having a contribution to research on the topic.

Good
5. Inclusion of a theoretical framework (if needed).
Good

6. Literature review shows a clear link between the problem and the existing literature.
Good

7. Appropriateness of research design and methodology.
Good

8. Accurate analysis and appropriate interpretation.
Good

9. Conclusions in accordance with recommendations and / or implications for related fields.
Good

10. Strength of the manuscript

This manuscript aims to identify critical factors to evaluate the value of E-SCM in the palm oil industry by using resource-based view (RBV) as the underlying theory. It utilised a quantitative research method by having 200 questionnaire respondents as primary data in related industry in the Musi Banyuasin (Muba) district in South Sumatra province. The data were analysed by employed CFA (Confirmatory Factor Analysis). Overall, this study has a clear and systematic flow and results. Although, there should be a major writing revision to sharpen the analysis and adjust to good academic journal writing.

11. Weakness of the manuscript

This manuscript should have a major writing revision to address its weaknesses as follows:
1. In abstract, there are some notes:
   a. Some words are unnecessary in capital, i.e. “harmony” and “business”, all should be in lower case except those begin a sentence or part of a title.
   b. Every abbreviation (abbrv.) should be introduced in front before being used, for example in abstract information technology (IT) – before using this abbrv. in other sentences. In addition, the term E-SCM in abstract must be introduced in the first hand.
   c. The authors are recommended to use native proof-reader to ensure the grammar of the manuscript. A few notes: “to investigates” should be “to investigate” (abstract).
   d. The writing of sentences was unfinished “This research reveals that IT human resources and IT
infrastructure are the critical factors and capability in to operate E-SCM effectively and…"
2. In introduction, the writing is not consistent : “…of e-scm..”; mistyping "….Azmiyati and Hidayat (2017 examine…" the close-bracket should end the citation.
3. Table 1. Show – should be "shows"; wording in the first column should be consistent in capita format.
4. Figure 1 – some words have to be translated.
5. Table 3 – should be revised on
   a. Female or male, instead of man or woman
   b. 46 – 50 years old
   c. Why the supplier formatted in italic?
6. Table 7 – set the format for repeated row for the table’s header if the table is separated into two pages.

Regarding the organisation,
1. In abstract, authors should explain the method data collection and analysis (i.e. number of samples) for its quantitative research methods. In addition, any novelty or contribution of this research is better to be mentioned.
2. A part of the objective that has been well written, a research question is still essential in introduction.
3. Finding and discussions should be enriched by exploring the academic and practical contribution upon this research’s outcome, not merely interpreting the data analysis’s result. Some recommendation for the industry is encouraged to add particular contribution from this manuscript.

12. Choose one of the following recommendations:
   Major revision required

13. Other Comments:

Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Writing and organization
   Poor

2. Topic discussed are new (up-to-date)
   Good

3. Relevance to JSI topic coverage.
   Excellent
4. Having a contribution to research on the topic.
Poor

5. Inclusion of a theoretical framework (if needed).
Good

6. Literature review shows a clear link between the problem and the existing literature.
Good

7. Appropriateness of research design and methodology.
Good

8. Accurate analysis and appropriate interpretation.
Good

9. Conclusions in accordance with recommendations and/or implications for related fields.
Good

10. Strength of the manuscript
    The manuscript use a proper methodology and data. The author also provide a proper discussion about the research result.

11. Weakness of the manuscript
    Many grammatical errors.

12. Choose one of the following recommendations:
    Minor revision required
13. Other Comments:

Refine the sentence in the abstract section: "the Aim of this study is to investigates..."
Revise the way you write citation. You do not put the closing bracket for each citation’s year.
Check your grammar.
Change figure 1 into English.
Delete the “references and citations” section.

Reviewer E:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

1. Writing and organization

Poor

2. Topic discussed are new (up-to-date)

Good

3. Relevance to JSI topic coverage.

Good

4. Having a contribution to research on the topic.

Good

5. Inclusion of a theoretical framework (if needed).

Good

6. Literature review shows a clear link between the problem and the existing literature.

Good
7. Appropriateness of research design and methodology.

Good

8. Accurate analysis and appropriate interpretation.

Poor

9. Conclusions in accordance with recommendations and / or implications for related fields.

Poor

10. Strength of the manuscript

11. Weakness of the manuscript

- The paper is rife with grammatical and spelling errors. Some sentences are incomprehensible. Please proofread.
- Figure 1 is still in Bahasa Indonesia.
- The following sentence in the abstract is incomplete: “This research reveals that IT human ... ”
- In-text citations are not formatted correctly. Please follow the referencing style as prescribed in author guidelines closely. Incorrect sample found in the paper: Benitez-Amado et al. (2010, Bharadwaj (2000 Arslan and Ozturan (2011
- Please relate your findings to the issues raised in the introduction.

12. Choose one of the following recommendations:

Minor revision required

13. Other Comments:
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Muhamad Akbar <muhamad.akbar@binadarma.ac.id>

Sat, Oct 19, 2019 at 12:16 PM

To: Darius Antoni <darius.antoni@binadarma.ac.id>, Darius Antoni <dariusantoni@gmail.com>

reviewer A, B dan C

yg blm :
1. In abstract, authors should explain the method data collection and analysis (i.e. number of samples) for its quantitative research methods. In addition, any novelty or contribution of this research is better to be mentioned.
2. A part of the objective that has been well written, a research question is still essential in
3. Finding and discussions should be enriched by exploring the academic and practical contribution upon this research’s outcome, not merely interpreting the data analysis’s result. Some recommendation for the industry is encouraged to add particular contribution from this manuscript.

4. dalam abstract -> The writing of sentences was unfinished “This research reveals that IT human resources and IT infrastructure are the critical factors and capability in to operate E-SCM effectively and....

The paper is rife with grammatical and spelling errors. Some sentences are incomprehensible. Please proofread.

--

Warm Regards,

Muhamad Akbar
Universitas Bina Darma
Jl. Jend A. Yani No. 12. Palembang - Indonesia 30264
web: www.binadarma.ac.id; email: muhamad.akbar@binadarma.ac.id
Home: -3.042737, 104.792194
ResearcherID: D-8158-2019
Scopus Author ID: 57202154241
ORCID: 0000-0002-5312-2314
Sinta Author ID: 5973946
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